Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

______ X

MAGTEN ASSET MANAGEMENT CORPORATION and

LAW DEBENTURE TRUST COMPANY OF NEW YORK,

Plaintiffs,

-vs-

NORTHWESTERN CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. C.A. No. 04-1494 (JJF)

____X

MAGTEN ASSET MANAGEMENT CORP.,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

MICHAEL J. HANSON and ERNIE J. KINDT,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. C.S. No. 05-499 (JJF)

DATE: November 13, 2007

TIME: 9:00 a.m.

Deposition of PAUL A. MARCUS, held at the offices of Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle, 101 Park Avenue, New York, New York,

```
Page 2
1
                          - PAUL A. MARCUS -
     pursuant to Notice, before Hope Menaker, a
     Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public of the State
     of New York.
5
 6
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
1.7
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 94

- PAUL A. MARCUS -

time period up to the bankruptcy. To look at the events that occurred and knowing that the company couldn't make it and needed to declare bankruptcy is something that is an indication also of what would have happened earlier had all of the information been known.

- Q. Well, do you have an opinion as you sit here today as to when the company would have had to declare bankruptcy, given the assumptions that you've made?
 - A. Not specifically, no.
- Q. Okay. I take it you don't have an opinion as to when the company would have had to declare bankruptcy, given the assumptions you made, but also given an assumption the company would have employed the same turnaround program in early '02 that it actually implemented in early '03, correct?

 MR. KAPLAN: Objection to form.
- A. I have not come up with an opinion as to when the company would have declared bankruptcy.
- Q. My question then, sir, is, why is the filing of the bankruptcy and timing of it relevant in any way relevant to your opinion that the asset transfer would have been impeded by the attempts of